# Area W West Hove Residents Parking Scheme Consultation Report

#### **Background**

In November 2013 residents in the area were consulted about a possible parking scheme in West Hove. At that time a majority of respondents rejected the proposal, with the exception of a small section near Wish Park which has since been implemented.

However, the council has since received a petition, and a number of complaints from residents, about parking issues in the West Hove Area. At the Environment, Transport & Sustainability Committee (15 March 2016) it was agreed that residents and businesses in this area would be written to in order to find out if there is general support for a resident parking scheme and if so, whether a full scheme or a light-touch scheme and what days of operation might be preferred.

If there is support for a residents parking scheme in the area, a further consultation with information about a detailed design will follow in the Spring of 2017. This will give residents a further opportunity to make a decision on whether they are happy with detailed proposals and to offer further comments.

#### **Headline Findings**

#### Support for a resident parking scheme:

- 727 (67.4%) of respondents support the idea of a residents parking scheme.
- 352 (32.6) of respondents do not support the idea of a residents parking scheme

#### **Full or Light-touch scheme**

- 218 (22.0%) prefer a full scheme
- 772 (78.0%) prefer a light-touch scheme

#### **Days of Operation**

- 523 (52.8%) of respondents support a Monday to Friday scheme
- 467 (47.2%) of respondents support a Monday to Sunday scheme

#### Methodology

Brighton & Hove City Council Land and Property Gazeteer was used to provide 2494 property addresses in the proposed scheme boundary for the West Hove Area. An information leaflet, detailed maps, a questionnaire and a prepaid envelope for reply was sent to each address. Respondents were also invited to complete the survey online via the council's Consultation Portal should they wish to. 93.9% responses received were by mail and 6.1% on line. The consultation was advertised on the council's website, via social media and by a press-release to local media. The consultation ran from 25 November to 13 January 2017.

#### **Results**

**1103** valid responses<sup>1</sup> were received from within the proposed scheme boundary giving a response rate of 44.23%.

# Q1 Would you like a parking scheme in your area? (response base<sup>2</sup> 1079)

Yes		No		Total
Number	%	Number %		Total
727	67.4	352	32.6	1079

## Results on a street by street basis were as follows:

(response base 1079)

	ses ses	ses	Yes		No		
Street	Number properties mailed	Number responses	Response rate %	Number	%	Number	%
Aldrington Close	39	8	20.5	0	0	8	100
Brittany Road	59	37	62.7	34	91.9	3	8.1
Chelston Avenue	26	12	46.2	10	83.3	2	16.7
Derek Avenue	55	28	50.9	20	71.4	8	28.6
Erroll Road	96	37	38.5	20	54.1	17	45.9
Glastonbury Road	13	8	61.5	6	75.0	2	25.0
Glebe Villas	73	29	39.7	20	69.0	9	31.0
Ingram Crescent East	253	56	22.1	27	48.2	29	51.8
Ingram Crescent West	242	34	14.0	15	44.1	19	55.9
Jesmond Close	3	2	66.7	0	0	2	100
Jesmond Road	54	37	68.5	29	78.4	8	21.6
Kenton Road	12	6	50.0	3	50.0	3	50.0
Kingsway	124	38	30.6	20	52.6	18	47.4
Leicester Villas	67	38	56.7	28	73.7	10	26.3
Mansfield Road	57	37	64.9	29	78.4	8	21.6
Middleton Avenue	47	31	66.0	15	48.4	16	51.6
Mornington Crescent	8	6	75.0	5	83.3	1	16.7

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  39 responses were removed from the analysis for the following reasons: 25 were duplicate cases, 10 were from outside the area and 4 gave no address.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Response base = number of people answering this question

	r	r ses	ıse	Ye	s	N	0
Street	Number properties mailed	Number responses	Response rate %	Number	%	Number	%
New Church Road	169	52	30.8	23	44.2	29	55.8
Olive Road	7	1	14.3	0	0	1	100
Portland Avenue	59	46	78.0	38	82.6	8	17.4
Portland Lane	3	0	0.0	0	0	0	0
Portland Road	225	73	32.4	46	63.0	27	37.0
Portland Villas	73	44	60.3	39	88.6	5	11.4
Roman Road	66	44	66.7	40	90.9	4	9.1
Rothbury Road	48	34	70.8	28	82.4	6	17.6
Seaford Road	26	12	46.2	9	75.0	3	25.0
St Keyna Avenue	55	39	70.9	24	61.5	15	38.5
St Leonards Avenue	163	69	42.3	40	58.0	29	42.0
St Leonards Gardens	87	50	57.5	23	46.0	27	54.0
St Leonards Road	136	79	58.1	57	72.2	22	27.8
Woodhouse Close	4	3	75.0	2	66.7	1	33.3
Woodhouse Road	51	38	74.5	35	92.1	3	7.9
Worcester Villas	94	51	54.3	42	82.4	9	17.6
Total	2494	1079	43.3	727	67.4	352	32.6

# **Q2** If a scheme were introduced which option would you prefer? (response base 900)

All Day 9am to 8pm		Two periods during the day 10am-11am and 2pm-3pm for example		Total
Number	%	Number	%	
218	22	772	78.0	990

# Q3 If a scheme were introduced would you prefer? (response base 990)

Monday to	Monday to Sunday		o Friday	Total
Number	%	Number	%	
467	47.2	523	52.8	990

# **Demographic Information**

### Age (response base 738)

Age	Number	%
18-24	11	1.5
25-34	48	6.5
35-44	160	21.7
45-54	195	26.4
55-64	138	18.7
65-74	94	12.7
75+	92	12.5
Total	738	100

### Gender (response base 799)

Gender	Number	%
Male	347	43.4
Female	449	56.2
Other	3	0.4
Total	799	100

## **Gender Identity** (response base 732)

Do you identify as the gender you were assigned at birth?	Number	%
Yes	720	98.4
No	12	1.6
Total	732	100

## Disability (response base 764)

Disability	Number	%
Yes, a little	72	9.4
Yes, a lot	76	9.9
No	616	80.6
Total	764	100

Of those who answered "yes", disabilities were as follows:

Please state the type of impairment which applies to you.	Number
Physical impairment	95
Sensory impairment	9
Learning disability/ difficulty	0
Long-standing illness	52
Mental health condition	17
Development condition	1
Autistic Spectrum	2
Other	4

# Ethnic Origin (response base 792)

Ethnic Origin		Number	%
	White English/ Welsh/ Scottish/ Northern Irish/ British	699	88.3
White	Irish	15	1.9
	Gypsy or Irish Traveller	5	0.6
	Any other white background	39	4.9
	Bangladeshi	9	1.1
Asian or	Indian	3	0.4
Asian British	Pakistani	1	0.1
ASIAH DHUSH	Chinese	0	0
	Any other Asian background	2	0.3
Black or	African	3	0.4
Black British	Caribbean	1	0.1
DIACK DITUSTI	Any other Black background	0	0
	Asian & White	4	0.5
Mixed	Black African & White	0	0
IVIIXEU	Black Caribbean & White	2	0.3
	Any other mixed background	2	0.3
Any other	Arab	4	0.5
ethnic group	Any other ethnic group	3	0.4
Total		792	100

# Sexual Orientation (response base 741)

Sexual Orientation	Number	%
Bisexual	14	1.9
Gay Man	20	2.7
Heterosexual/ straight	681	91.9
Lesbian/ Gay Woman	22	3.0
Other	4	0.5
Total	741	100

# Religious Belief (response base 743)

Religious Belief	Number	%
I have no particular religion or belief	286	38.5
Buddhist	10	1.3
Christian	347	46.7
Hindu	1	0.1
Jain	0	0
Jewish	11	1.5
Muslim	7	0.9
Pagan	4	0.5
Sikh	1	0.1
Agnostic	5	0.7
Atheist	51	6.9
Other	7	0.9
Other philosophical belief	13	1.7
Total	743	100

## Carer (response base 897)

Are you a carer	Number	%
Yes	68	7.6
No	829	92.4
Total	897	100

If yes, do you care for a:	Number
Parent	25
Partner or Spouse	20
Child with special needs	13
Friend	4
Other family member	11
Other	0
Total	73

## **Armed Forces**

(Response Base 833)

	Yes		No	
Armed Forces	Number	%	Number	%
Are you currently serving in the UK armed forces?	2	0.2	831	99.8
Have you ever served in the UK armed forces?	44	5.2	808	82.4
Are you a member of a current or former serviceman or woman's immediate family/ household?	25	3.0	810	97.0

# **Appendix**

39 responses were removed from the analysis as they fell into the following categories:

- 25 Duplicates (only one responses per household was included)
- 10 Responses from residents outside the area
- 4 Responses where no address was given

Why removed from		r of parking heme	Not in favour of parking scheme		Total
main report	Number	%	Number	%	
Duplicates	17	73.9	6	26.1	23
Outside the area	5	50.0	5	50.0	10
No address given	1	25.0	3	75.0	4
Total	23	62.2	14	37.8	37